# ARGUMENT AND REBUTTAL FORM November 3rd 2020 MEASURE I.D. (if any):

JURISDICTION:

(Please mark (x) in the appropriate box)			
☐ Argument in Favor ☑ Rebuttal to Argument Against	☐ Argument Against ☐ Rebuttal to Argument in Favor		

Statements will be printed in uniform type, style and spacing. Use block paragraphs and single space format. Text submitted indented or centered will be typeset in block paragraph form. Entire statements in all capital letters are not acceptable. Indentations, circles, stars, dots, italics and/or bullets cannot be accommodated. However, you may use dashes/hyphens. Words to be printed in boldface type, underscored and/or CAPITALIZED are to be clearly indicated. Any combinations of enhanced words are counted as one word. All statements should be checked by the authors for spelling and punctuation as the elections official is not permitted to edit any material contained therein. NOTE: Rebuttal arguments are not direct arguments. For example, a rebuttal to a direct argument in favor of a measure is NOT a direct argument against a measure. Please also note that rebuttal arguments are allowed only when both a direct argument for AND against a measure are filed.

#### ALL AUTHORS MUST SIGN ON THE REVERSE SIDE

Please attach typed statement to this form. Statements should be typed in upper and lower case letters. Statement will be typeset in the Official Sample Ballot Booklet using TIMES NEW ROMAN font in 11 point size. However, statements can be submitted using any standard font.

2020 AUG 24 PM 12: 03

# DECLARATION BY AUTHOR(S) OF ARGUMENTS OR REBUTTALS (Elections Code Section 9600)

All arguments concerning measures filed pursuant to Division 9 of the Elections Code shall be accompanied by the following declaration to be signed by each author of the argument/rebuttal. Names and titles listed will be printed in the Voter Information portion of the Official Sample Ballot Booklet in the order provided below.

The undersigned author(s) of the:	☐ Argument in Favor☐ Argument Against		Rebuttal to Argument Against  Rebuttal to Argument in Favor	
of ballot measure		at the Genera	-	
C.1 C	(name and/or letter) Cylver City		(title of election) to be held on ment is true and correct to the	
(date) best of his/her/their knowledge and b	elief		.01	
	TECT CULVER CITY ear on Argument	1	Signature  23, 2020  Date	
2. Revidunt Title to Appe	1/3 drn ed Name C. L TM Bane war ar on Argument	Sur 8/23/2	Date	
3. Tudth Printe  Member LTM Title to Appe	Ann Scott  R (Calver City)  ar on Argument		)3 ) 10 Date	
4. Printe	od Name		Signature	
Title to Appe	ar on Argument		Date	
5. Printe	od Name		Signature	
Title to Appe	ar on Argument	-	Date	
<del>-</del>			_ am the ining to this filing. Below is	
Contact Numbers:Daytin	ne	Evening	Fax	
OFFICE	USE ONLY  Word Counts		Time Stamp	
NUMBER OF WORDS:	TTOTA COUNTS			
PROJECT CODE NUMBER:				
ELECTION DEPUTY:				

#### Rebuttal against argument against Measure B

Measure B opponents use scare tactics, such as "puts residents on streets", "is confusing," "makes local law inflexible," – All false claims.

California recently passed the Tenant Protection Act that offers the strongest statewide tenant protections in the nation, including eviction bans and caps on price increases. Additional emergency COVID-19 eviction bans are also in place. All these protections remain with the passage of Measure B. Measure B only applies to any additional local measures our city makes.

There is zero evidence of council's claims of a "wave of evictions and price hikes" on "thousands of families" should our ballot measure pass. Council's staff, consultants, and Landlord Tenant Mediation Board records all contradict these claims.

### A Yes vote guarantees we vote on local rent control:

The City of Santa Monica placed a similar measure before voters for rent control. Nothing stops Council from crafting a ballot measure that is flexible for change. They could do this in weeks, not years. They would need only a simple majority, not thousands of signatures.

## A Yes vote mandates meaningful participation

No independent working group or public workshops have been held on Council's local rent control plans. Important questions about its feasibility were never answered. Unless our measure passes, their administration will go into effect with no oversight.

To ensure Culver City remains an affordable place to live for families and seniors, we must have final say on polices that could put that in jeopardy.

VOTE YES ON MEASURE B.

